FILED

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

JUL 27 2006

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MARY EMMA ERIKSEN,

No. 04-36142

Plaintiff - Appellant,

D.C. No. CV-03-00350-JLQ

v.

MEMORANDUM*

JOHN NUNEMAKER; et al.,

Defendants - Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Washington Justin L. Quackenbush, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted July 24, 2006**

Before: ALARCÓN, HAWKINS, and THOMAS, Circuit Judges.

Mary Emma Eriksen appeals pro se from the district court's judgment dismissing her 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action alleging that various individuals involved in a state trust proceeding conspired to violate her constitutional rights when she

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

^{**} The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

was jailed for ten months for civil contempt. We have jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We review de novo, *Schucker v. Rockwood*, 846 F.2d 1202, 1203 (9th Cir. 1988), and we affirm.

The district court properly granted summary judgment in favor of state court Judge Sperline because a state court judge is entitled to absolute immunity for judicial actions taken within his jurisdiction. *See id.* at 1204.

The district court properly dismissed the action as to the remaining defendants because Eriksen failed to establish that these defendants acted under color of state law, as required by 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Eriksen did not allege sufficient facts to support her claim of a conspiracy between state court Judge Sperline and the other defendants, who were private parties. *See id.* at 1205; *see also Barren v. Harrington*, 152 F.3d 1193, 1194 (9th Cir. 1998) (order).

Eriksen's remaining contentions are also without merit.

We deny as moot Judge Sperline's letter request to withdraw his motion to dismiss, and his motion to dismiss.

AFFIRMED.